

SECRET

SECRET

The Files

DOC <u>44</u>	REV DATE <u>5 MAY 1980</u>	BY <u>OR313</u>
ORIG COMP <u>033</u>	OPI <u>56</u>	TYPE <u>02</u>
ORIG CLASS <u>5</u>	PAGES <u>5</u>	REV CLASS <u>5</u>
JUST <u>22</u>	NEXT REV <u>2010</u>	AUTH: HR 70-2

February 1956

Trip Report, [REDACTED]

25 January 1956.

1. This trip to [REDACTED] was made for the purpose of discussing the redesign of the [REDACTED] field equipment and the application of on-line enciphering equipment, such as the [REDACTED] equipment now being produced in small quantities by NSA. Present for the discussions on these programs were:

[REDACTED]
CIA
CIA

2. The redesign of the [REDACTED] field unit was the first item to be discussed. The Laboratory Report, which made recommendations for improvements to be incorporated in any redesign, was used as a guide for this discussion. This report pointed out specific mechanical and electrical details which contributed to the malfunctioning of the original coder. Since the coder will be entirely redesigned, only those features which might be common to the new and old coder were discussed. In the new coder a common reading and writing head will be used, thus eliminating the problem of head design prevalent in the old unit. For writing, a commutator with positive gearing to the sprocket tape drive will provide accurate sync and band spacing. For playback, the sprocket drive will be governed. The take-up reel drive will be simply that sufficient to dispose of the tape without an appreciable effect on the governed sprocket drive speed. A complete redesign of the power supplies was also a part of the contractor's proposal. In this redesign the new receiver power supply will definitely be transistorized. According to information the contractor has received from [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] there is a very good possibility that the high voltage power supply may be transistorized. This, however, may be vibrator or chopper type of supply. The contractor suggested that he would like to make the battery case detachable from the main case. We agreed that this would not only be advantageous for transporting purposes but for battery recharge as well. The contractor advised that in his proposal was the complete redesign of the receiver, except for the front end. In this redesign the mechanical instabilities of the IF section would be eliminated. The high frequency and stepping oscillator circuitry would be redesigned in order to provide greater reliability. The contractor expects also to place new emphasis upon the antenna design, since the type of antenna used on the original [REDACTED] equipment was a war surplus antenna and is probably not now available for the new units.

SECRET

SECRET

50X1

3. In response to specific complaints outlined in the Laboratory evaluation, the contractor asked that:

- a. several of the poor U.S. manufactured 5894/PX9903 tubes be sent to [] for further investigation.
- b. we provide him with further details as to the failure to the field unit receiver of Paragraph 2.8.
- c. we provide him with a corrected instruction book described in Paragraph 2.9.
- d. we provide the frequencies desired for the new units.
- e. we advise how many loading coil forms we need for our present application.

50X1

4. The contractor also agreed that the antenna loading tuning would be adjusted for less optimistic ground conditions as outlined in Paragraph 2.7 of the report. In reply to certain of our recommendations, the following comments were made:

- a. [] took exception to Paragraph 4.1 which recommended that printed-through eyelets be used to improve the reliability of the printed circuitry. He doubted that we would obtain improved reliability using this technique. He did agree, however, that eyelet reliability should be improved and gave us the attached report indicating work they have done in this direction.
- b. The Contractor agreed to give consideration to a means for providing a "heat sink" to permit bench testing of the field units as outlined in Paragraph 4.1.2.
- c. The problem of improper switch detent action or alignment as outlined in Paragraph 4.2.1 is caused chiefly by the flexible chassis arrangement in the present unit. This problem is presently being investigated and some solution should be reached.

50X1

5. The following points of general interest were discussed:

- a. [] was asked to suggest test equipment for the field unit. They stated that they probably will provide some minimal test equipment, however, anything comprehensive will require additional funding.
- b. From the point of prototype approval by the Laboratory, the contractor stated that timing would not permit evaluation

50X1

SECRET

50X1

of the initial units in Washington. The contractor would appreciate our sending an engineer to his plant, however, to help in shaking down the original unit.

- c. The contractor's proposal does not include spare parts for the units ordered. We requested that he provide us with a parts perculiar list, so that we may order the necessary spares.
- d. The contractor further agreed to investigate the permanent recording of the recognition signal on the tape.

6. After the Laboratory report of the field unit had been thoroughly discussed and the general scope of the contractor's proposal had been reviewed, we transferred our attention to [redacted] Communi- 50X1
cations System. Considerable discussion on the development of an ambique 50X1
to the [redacted] equipment to permit utilization of the [redacted] 50X1
[redacted] followed. From the discussion of this system, it would appear that the 50X1
most expeditious handling of traffic could be accomplished if the [redacted] 50X1
[redacted] were placed in the signal centers at each end of the 50X1
[redacted] system. This has the disadvantages of complicating slightly 50X1
the present [redacted] system, as well as requiring approximately six or seven 50X1
racks in the signal center. (See attached sketches A and B for the block 50X1
diagram of this arrangement.) Such a system, however, has the great advantages 50X1
of allowing a message [redacted] from signal center to 50X1
signal center without additional handling and of permitting communications 50X1
along the entire route at the maximum transmission rate of 1600 words per 50X1
minute. It is believed that standard telephone lines would be satisfactory 50X1
between the signal centers and the transmitting and receiving stations.
Program quality lines, however, would provide an improvement.

7. [redacted] asked how long it would take to put the present 50X1
[redacted] unit into good operating condition, incorporating most of the 50X1
recommended changes resulting from the [redacted] field tests. [redacted] said 50X1
that the unit could be cleaned up in approximately three months, provided 50X1
the unit is received [redacted] at an early date. Points of redesign already 50X1
recognized are the exciter tuner (feedback problems need analysis), the 50X1
IF gain tracking, the [redacted] oscillator, and the tape transport mechanism. 50X1
In response to [redacted] question as to whether [redacted] could go into 50X1
production on the [redacted] equipment, [redacted] replied that additional units on 50X1
a model shop basis could be produced in from nine to twelve months from 50X1
receipt of the contract. Simultaneous refurbishment of the existing unit 50X1
plus the model shop production of two one-way units could probably be 50X1
accomplished in approximately seven months.

8. [redacted] indicated that [redacted] has an internal research project 50X1
on the analysis of multipath over various ranges. He suggested that if we 50X1
had any intention of making further [redacted] tests that they would be very

SECRET

[redacted]

SECRET

50X1

[REDACTED]

desirous of monitoring our transmissions so that they might gain additional multipath information. [REDACTED] was advised that if we planned any tests of this nature we would see whether it would be acceptable to permit them to monitor the transmissions.

50X1

50X1

OC-E/R&D-EP/JCB:rlm 6 February 1956

cc: Monthly Report (2)
E&D Subject File ✓
OC-C&T
Dev-op

SECRET

50X1

SECRET

The Files

28 November 1955

50X1

ILLEGIB

50X1

1. In accordance with our request during our recent visit
[redacted] called at about 1500 to submit a verbal
proposal for the redesign and clean-up of the present
field unit.

50X1

50X1

2. For specific redesign of the coder, redesign of the power
supply, and partial redesign of the receiver, plus the general
clean-up of the remainder of the circuitry, [redacted] quotes
[redacted] for the first two units; the balance in quantities
of 5-20, [redacted] per unit.

50X1

50X1

50X1

3. [redacted] pointed out that this work will constitute
practically all of the redesign for the coder and power supply,
originally considered under [redacted] proposal, and about one-half
of the receiver redesign required, so that from this point,
[redacted] development should be about 1/3 to 1/2 completed.

50X1

50X1

50X1

50X1

OC-E/R&D-EP/WNH:mmb (30 November 1955)

CC: Monthly Report (2)
R&D SUBJECT FILE
R&D Subject File
Dev-ep

50X1

SECRET

50X1